Webinars



VIEW TITLE II REPORTS

Login

SUBMIT REPORTS

Technical Assistance

User Manuals

About Title II| Contacts

University of Arizona Traditional Program $2017 \left| \begin{smallmatrix} \text{Title II} \\ \text{Reports} \end{smallmatrix} \right|$

Complete Report Card

AY 2015-16

Institution Information

Name of Institution: University of Arizona
Institution/Program Type: Traditional
Academic Year: 2015-16
State: Arizona

Address: College of Education PO Box 210069 Tucson, AZ, 85721

Contact Name: Dr. Renee Clift
Phone: 520-621-1573

Email: rtclift@email.arizona.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education? (http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html)

No

If yes, provide the following:

Award year:

Grantee name:

Project name:

Grant number:

List partner districts/LEAs:

List other partners:

Project Type:

Section I.a Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?
Arts Education- Art	No
Arts Education- Music	No
Early Childhood Education	No
Earth Science	No
Elementary Education	No
Other Secondary Subject Matter	No
Secondary Biology	No
Secondary Chemistry	No
Secondary English	No
Secondary German	No

Secondary History	No
Secondary Mathematics	No
Secondary Physics	No
Secondary Political Science/ American Government	No
Secondary Social Studies	No
Secondary Spanish	No
Special Education- Cross Categorical	No
Special Education- Hearing Impaired	No
Special Education- Learning Disabled	No
Special Education- Severe and Profoundly Disabled	No
Special Education- Visual Impairment	No
Standard Career and Technical Education Agriculture	No
Total number of teacher preparation programs: 22	,

Section I.b Admissions

Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:

Other Sophomore, Junior, Senior, Postbaccalaureate

Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?

Yes

Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:

http://www.coe.arizona.edu

Please provide any additional comments about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

Admissions vary depending on the program. There is no one, single point of contact for the entire campus. In addition, the web site given above does not provide admissions requirements for programs not in the College of Education.

Section I.b Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (\$205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Undergraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry Required		t Required for Entry Required for Exit	
Transcript	Yes	Yes		
Fingerprint check	Yes	Yes		
Background check	No	No		
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes	Yes		
Minimum GPA	Yes	Yes		
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes	Yes		
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes	Yes		
Minimum ACT score	No	No		
Minimum SAT score	No	No		
Minimum basic skills test score	No	No		
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	No	No		
Recommendation(s)	Yes	No		
Essay or personal statement	Yes	No		
Interview	Yes	No		
OtherExperience with students in a public school	Yes	No		

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?

3.3

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

3

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2015-16

3.5

Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above:

We discussed raising the minimum GPA to 3.0 beginning in the academic year 2015-16, but it is not yet official.

Section I.b Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (\$205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Postgraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	Yes	Yes
Fingerprint check	Yes	Yes
Background check	No	No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum ACT score	No	No
Minimum SAT score	No	No
Minimum basic skills test score	No	No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes	No
Recommendation(s)	Yes	No
Essay or personal statement	Yes	No
Interview	Yes	No
OtherExperience working with the appropriate level of school-aged children or adolescents	Yes	Yes

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?

3

What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2015-16

3.14

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

3

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2015-16

3.6

Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above:

This may vary just a bit across programs.

Section I.c Enrollment

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled.

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and not an enrolled student.

Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.

Total number of students enrolled in 2015-16:	798	
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2015-16:		
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2015-16:	624	

2015-16	Number enrolled	
Ethnicity		
Hispanic/Latino of any race:	154	
Race		
American Indian or Alaska Native:	16	
Asian:	12	
Black or African American:	15	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander:	4	
White:	476	
Two or more races:	111	

Section I.d Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2015-16.

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching		
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	600	
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	42	
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	48	
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	540	

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2015-16. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (\$205(b)(1)(H))

Subject Area	Number Prepared
Education - General	
Teacher Education - Special Education	34
Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	27
Teacher Education - Elementary Education	91
Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
Teacher Education - Secondary Education	39
Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	
Teacher Education - Agriculture	10
Teacher Education - Art	6
Teacher Education - Business	
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	24
Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
Teacher Education - Health	
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
Teacher Education - Mathematics	26
Teacher Education - Music	18
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
Teacher Education - Reading	
Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	13
Teacher Education - Social Science	5
Teacher Education - Social Studies	

reaction Education Social Studies	L
Teacher Education - Technical Education	
Teacher Education - Computer Science	
Teacher Education - Biology	8
Teacher Education - Chemistry	2
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
Teacher Education - French	
Teacher Education - German	
Teacher Education - History	12
Teacher Education - Physics	3
Teacher Education - Spanish	6
Teacher Education - Speech	
Teacher Education - Geography	
Teacher Education - Latin	
Teacher Education - Psychology	
Teacher Education - Earth Science	2
Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	32
Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	12
Education - Other Specify: Teacher Education - American Sign Language	6

Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2015-16. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the numbe of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (\$205(b)(1)(H))

Academic Major	Number Prepared
Education - General	
Teacher Education - Special Education	34
Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	27
Teacher Education - Elementary Education	91
Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
Teacher Education - Secondary Education	39
Teacher Education - Agriculture	10
Teacher Education - Art	6
Teacher Education - Business	
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
Teacher Education - Health	
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
Teacher Education - Mathematics	13
Teacher Education - Music	
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
Teacher Education - Reading	
Teacher Education - Science	
Teacher Education - Social Science	
Teacher Education - Social Studies	
Teacher Education - Technical Education	
Teacher Education - Computer Science	
Teacher Education - Biology	8
Teacher Education - Chemistry	2
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
Teacher Education - French	
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	2Voor=2017

6/2017 https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/	DataCollection/In
reacher Eugeation - German	
Teacher Education - History	
Teacher Education - Physics	
Teacher Education - Spanish	
Teacher Education - Speech	
Teacher Education - Geography	
Teacher Education - Latin	
Teacher Education - Psychology	
Teacher Education - Earth Science	
Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
Education - Curriculum and Instruction	
Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	
Liberal Arts/Humanities	
Psychology	
Social Sciences	5
Anthropology	
Economics	
Geography and Cartography	
Political Science and Government	
Sociology	
Visual and Performing Arts	
History	12
Foreign Languages	
Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
English Language/Literature	
Philosophy and Religious Studies	
Agriculture	
Communication or Journalism	
Engineering	
Biology	
Mathematics and Statistics	
Physical Sciences	
Astronomy and Astrophysics	
Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	
Chemistry	
Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	
Physics	3
Business/Business Administration/Accounting	
Computer and Information Sciences	
Other	
Specify:	

Section I.f Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years:

2015-16: 245

2014-15: 279

2013-14: 281

Section II Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual

including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (\$205(a)(1)(A)(ii), \$206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2015-16

Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2015-16?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2015-16?

0

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2015-16?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

It is difficult to recruit when salaries are so low and expectations for advancement are nonexistent.

Academic year 2016-17

Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2016-17?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2016-17?

0

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

The market won't support teachers--esp. mathematics majors who have many, more lucrative opportunities.

Academic year 2017-18

Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2017-18?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2017-18?

0

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

See above comments.

Section II Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (\$205(a)(1)(A)(ii), \$206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2015-16

Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2015-16?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2015-16?

0

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2015-16?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

The comments in the mathematics section apply here, as well.

Academic year 2016-17

Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2016-17?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2016-17?

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Working conditions and salaries are a serious problem here in Arizona.

Academic year 2017-18

Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2017-18?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2017-18?

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

See above

Section II Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (\$205(a)(1)(A)(ii), \$206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic

Academic year 2015-16

Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2015-16?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2015-16?

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2015-16?

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We are seeing a drop in demand because of working conditions AND the increasing burden of paperwork.

Academic year 2016-17

Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2016-17?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2016-17?

0

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

We are seeing a drop in demand because of working conditions AND the increasing burden of paperwork.

Academic year 2017-18

Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2017-18? https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport.aspx?Year=2017 12/6/2017

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2017-18?

0

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

See above

Section II Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (\$205(a)(1)(A)(ii), \$206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2015-16

Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2015-16?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2015-16?

0

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2015-16?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

We are actually increasing in this area, but not because we set goals. We are just making the program more visible.

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2016-17

Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

_

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

See above

Academic year 2017-18

Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

0

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

See ahove

Section II Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. (\$205(a)(1)(A)(iii), \$206(b)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

Yes

Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom. https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport.aspx?Year=2017 Yes

Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

Ye

Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

Yes

Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

There is no substantial change in terms of what we reported last year. We meet regularly with the district representatives who work with our students; we engage in common research and program development projects; we carefully negotiate effective field experiences; we evaluate our candidates performance as well as their perceptions of their experiences and we place our students in Title I schools. We are expanding our outreach to rural districts. We survey local districts who hire our students in order to determine their perceptions of how well prepared our student are.

Section III Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
NTO51-APK ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	8			
NTO51-APK ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	7			
NTO51-APK ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	111	251	109	98
NTO51-APK ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	140	254	139	99
NTO51-APK ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	144	254	142	99
NTO52-APK SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	9			
NTO52-APK SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	5			
NTO52-APK SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	77	256	76	99
NTO52-APK SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	92	258	90	98
NTO52-APK SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	86	260	86	100
NT503-ART Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	5			
NT503-ART Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	6			
NT503-ART Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	10	253	10	100
NT503-ART	7			

0/2017 Https://ttiecz	ca.gov/	Jeouree	, Data Oo	nicoti
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14				
NT305-BIOLOGY	8			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2015-16				
NT305-BIOLOGY	11	260	11	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15				
NT305-BIOLOGY	9			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2013-14				
NT306-CHEMISTRY	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students				
	1			
NT306-CHEMISTRY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	1			
All program completers, 2015-16				
NT306-CHEMISTRY	4			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT306-CHEMISTRY	3			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14				
036-EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	22	271	22	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson		2/1	22	100
All program completers, 2015-16				
036-EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	20	264	19	95
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2014-15				
036-EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION	13	268	13	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14				
045-EARTH SCIENCE	4			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	~			
All program completers, 2015-16				
045-EARTH SCIENCE	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2013-14	_			
001-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	3			
All program completers, 2013-14				
NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I)	10	234	7	70
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All enrolled students who have completed all noncl				
NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I)	2			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students				
NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I)	86	244	81	94
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	80	244	01	94
All program completers, 2015-16				
NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I)	105	245	102	97
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I)	103	249	102	99
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14				
NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II)	9			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All enrolled students who have completed all noncl				
NT : 0 = ELEMENTARY ERUSATION (SUBTESTS II)	2			_
NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II)		ı		
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	0.1	2		
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	86	246	77	90

1 0 1				
NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II)	105	250	101	96
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15				
NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II)	103	250	101	98
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14				
002-ENGLISH	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	1			
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS	5			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students				
NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS	10	271	10	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	10	2/1	10	100
All program completers, 2015-16				
NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS	11	267	11	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	13	265	13	100
All program completers, 2013-14				
NT311-GENERAL SCIENCE	2			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2015-16				
NT311-GENERAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	2			
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT302-HISTORY	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
Other enrolled students				
NT302-HISTORY	5			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16				
NT302-HISTORY	10	245	10	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT302-HISTORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	7			
All program completers, 2013-14				
005-HISTORY	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2013-14				
NT304-MATHEMATICS	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl				
NT304-MATHEMATICS	7			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
Other enrolled students				
NT304-MATHEMATICS	12	260	11	92
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16				
NT304-MATHEMATICS	13	253	13	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	-5	200	13	-0
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT304-MATHEMATICS	13	258	13	100
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14				
NT504-MUSIC	2			_
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All enrolled students who have completed all noncl				
All enrolled students who have completed all noncl NT504-MUSIC	1			
All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	1			

6/2017 https://title2	z.ea.gov/s	secured	I/DalaC0	necu
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16				
NT504-MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	12	257	12	100
NT504-MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	17	260	17	100
NT308-PHYSICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	3			
NT308-PHYSICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	2			
NT308-PHYSICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	1			
006-POLITICAL SCIENCE/AMERICAN GOVERNMENT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
006-POLITICAL SCIENCE/AMERICAN GOVERNMENT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
006-POLITICAL SCIENCE/AMERICAN GOVERNMENT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	3			
006-POLITICAL SCIENCE/AMERICAN GOVERNMENT Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	2			
091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl	1			
091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			
091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	4			
091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	6			
091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	1			
092-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	1			
093-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE-EARLY CHLDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	22	262	22	100
093-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE-EARLY CHLDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	19	263	19	100
093-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE-EARLY CHLDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	20	263	20	100
NT401-SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	4			
NT401-SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	5			
015-SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	1			

NT401-SPANISH	4			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2013-14				
022-SPECIAL ED.: CROSS-CATEGORY	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2013-14				
026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED	2			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All enrolled students who have completed all noncl				
026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED	3			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
Other enrolled students				
026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2015-16				
026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED	2			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2013-14				
027-SPECIAL ED.: LEARNING DISABILITY	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2014-15				
030-SPECIAL ED.: SEV. & PROF. DISABLED	2			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2013-14				
032-SPECIAL ED.: VISUALLY IMPAIRED	3			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2015-16				
032-SPECIAL ED.: VISUALLY IMPAIRED	1			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION	4			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All enrolled students who have completed all noncl				
NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION	4			
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
Other enrolled students				
NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION	19	245	18	95
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				
All program completers, 2015-16				
NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION	32	249	30	94
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson	-	/		
All program completers, 2014-15				
NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION	30	245	29	97
Evaluation Systems group of Pearson				^′
All program completers, 2013-14				

Section III Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	
All program completers, 2015-16	216	199	92
All program completers, 2014-15	265	254	96
All program completers, 2013-14	254	247	97

Section IV Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program.

Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program: State

No

Section V Use of Technology

12/6/2017

Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

 Yes
- use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning Yes
- use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning Yes
- use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning
 Yes

Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

The majority of our incoming students have proficiency across numerous technologies. In addition, many courses incorporate a variety of technologies, presentation formats, and web sites. Desire to Learn (D2L), a university-wide online platform for sharing information with students in particular sections for a class, is used nearly all teacher preparation courses. Instructors model teaching with technologies such as interactive White Boards, and we also address using technology tools in our subject methods courses, where we have students work with tools for data collection and analysis. Strategies that have proven most successful include requiring students to develop lesson plans that require high school students to utilize key pieces of technology within their own class projects. In mathematics methods courses, software such as Geogebra and Geometer's Sketchpad, graphing calculators, and motion detectors for data collection and graph displays are widely used. In Art Education, ARE 469/569 addresses intensive usage of technologies such as Second Life in teaching situations. In fall 2010, this course was co-taught in Second life with a professor at Penn State University. Students also gain a first-hand experience of technology, working on digital art/animation/web design projects. In the Special Education program, specific coursework focuses on the integration of technology into teaching and learning and use of devices such as laptops, netbooks, PDAs, lightscribe pens, Smart Boards, and other applications. Special education students learn and develop technology for adaptive devices by creating an adaptation for a student, use it, and report back), and students attend the technology presentations created and presented by the DRC (Disability Resource Center), which highlights technology for use in classroom settings with a variety of disabilities. In general, we are continuing to increase the number of assignments in which students use technology and we are providing professional development experiences for faculty members, such as the K-12 Summer Technology Camp which has resulted in faculty being more current with technology and incorporating more technology into their courses. Many of the programs require student teaching portfolios and require students to demonstrate the use of technology in teaching practices. While many of our programs solely use electronic portfolios, we are exploring the possibility of requiring electronic portfolios for all of our students—across programs. Although the ADE survey of principals indicated that they were quite satisfied with our students' preparation to use technology, we believe we have room to grow in this area. The College of Education has added an award for innovative uses of technology, hired a learning sciences director, and converted a computer lab into a telepresence room.

To collect data to improve teaching & learning

Our students use video and digital recordings of their teaching in order to promote reflection and to analyze student learning. They also use electronic grade books that are specific to the districts in which they are student teaching. In their assessment courses they become aware of the ways in which data can inform curriculum and instructional design.

Manage data to improve teaching and learning

Our students use electronic grade books that are specific to the districts in which they are student teaching.

Analyze data to improve teaching and learning

Our students learn to integrate more quantitative data with qualitative data as they reflect on the impact of their teaching on their students' work products. In addition, the Classroom Inquiry projects for Teach Arizona fall into this category. During student teaching, Teach Arizona students are required to design and implement an action research study of some aspect of their instruction. They gather and analyze relevant qualitative and quantitative data (from assignments, exams, journals, surveys, observations) to assess how their instruction impacts student learning, attendance, motivation, etc.

Section VI Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

- teach students with disabilities effectively
 Yes
- participate as a member of individualized education program teams
 Yes
- teach students who are limited English proficient effectively
 Voc

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities*

Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport.aspx?Year=2017 15/16

are not currently in place.

We use four forms of evidence to document our students' proficiency in the use of technology: teaching portfolios, student surveys, principal surveys, and surveys of Human Resource directors. There is convergence across the surveys that our graduates are prepared to work with special needs students. In addition, the portfolios indicate that our student are meeting the InTASC standard, which include diversifying instruction and working with students of varying abilities. We are beginning discussions around ways to better incorporate collaborative teaching into our programs. We are also working with local districts to provide more dual language instructors.

Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

- teach students with disabilities effectively
 Yes
- participate as a member of individualized education program teams
 Yes
- teach students who are limited English proficient effectively
 Yes

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities*Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

We use four forms of evidence to document our students' proficiency in the use of technology: teaching portfolios, student surveys, principal surveys, and surveys of Human Resource directors. There is convergence across the surveys that our graduates are prepared to use technology in their instruction. Moreover, the portfolios indicate that our student are meeting ISTE-NETS standards. In addition we are redesigning our classrooms and several of our assignments to increase the effective use of technology in instruction across our programs.

All of our special education students have multiple opportunities to participate on IEP teams during student teaching and in early field experiences. Where appropriate, they have the opportunity to lead portions of the meeting during student teaching.

All of our special education students are required to take two state mandated courses in Structured English Immersion. They are also required to take a course in multi-cultural issues in special education, which includes a focus on special education students who have limited English proficiency. The ADE survey, mentioned previously, indicated that our graduates are rated above the state average in this area.

Section VII Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card. The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

Conditions in Arizona and across the U.S. make teaching a low-valued, poorly paid, highly criticized and undesirable profession. For two years in a row our graduates are rated higher than the state average. The principals who hire our teachers feel that they are well prepared. The state has not provided us with results from Year Three or Four, but our own local surveys indicate that cooperating district perceive that our graduates are well prepared to enter the classroom. We are expanding ou Teacher Preparation Survey to include cooperating teachers' perceptions of our students capabilities.

Supporting Files

Complete Report Card AY 2015-16



About Title II| Technical Assistance| Privacy Policy| Contacts