

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>



Login

Webinars

Technical Assistance User Manuals

VIEW TITLE II REPORTS

SUBMIT REPORTS

About Title III Contacts

Title II  
Reports

University of Arizona Traditional Program

Complete Report Card

# 2016

---

AY 2014-15

## Institution Information

Name of Institution: Institution/Program Type: Academic Year: State:

Address:

Contact Name: Phone: Email:

University of Arizona Traditional 2014-15 Arizona

College of Education PO Box 210069 Tucson, AZ, 85721

Dr. Renee Clift 520-621-1573 [rtclift@email.arizona.edu](mailto:rtclift@email.arizona.edu)

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title III Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education? (<http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oi/tqp/index.html>)

No If yes, provide the following:

Award year: Grantee name: Project name: Grant number: List partner districts/LEAs: List other partners: Project Type:

## Section I.a Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oi/tqp/index.html>.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?
Arts Education- Art	No
Arts Education- Music	No
Early Childhood Education	No
Earth Science	No
Elementary Education	No
Other Secondary Subject Matter	No
Secondary Biology	No
Secondary Chemistry	No
Secondary English	No
Secondary German	No
Secondary History	No
Secondary Mathematics	No

1 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

2 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

Secondary Physics No

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

Secondary Political Science/ American Government

No

Secondary Social Studies

No

Secondary Spanish

No

Special Education- Cross Categorical

No

Special Education- Hearing Impaired

No

Special Education- Learning Disabled

No

Special Education- Severe and Profoundly Disabled

No

Special Education- Visual Impairment

No

Standard Career and Technical Education Agriculture

No

---

Total number of teacher preparation programs: 22

---

## Section I.b Admissions

Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program: Other Sophomore, Junior, Senior, Postbaccalaureate

Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students? Yes

Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:  
<http://www.coe.arizona.edu>

Please provide any additional comments about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

Admissions vary depending on the program. There is no one, single point of contact for the entire campus. In addition, the web site given above does not provide admissions requirements for programs not in the College of Education.

## Section I.b Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements.. (§205(a)(1)(C)(ii))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Undergraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	Yes	Yes
Fingerprint check	Yes	Yes
Background check	No	No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum ACT score	No	No
Minimum SAT score	No	No
Minimum basic skills test score	No	No

Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	No	No
Recommendation(s)	Yes	No
Essay or personal statement	Yes	No
Interview	Yes	No
Other Experience with students in a public school	Yes	No

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2014-15? What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

2.5

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2014-15? Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above: We raised the minimum GPA to 3.0 beginning in the academic year 2015-16.

## Section I.b Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(ii))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Postgraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	Yes	Yes
Fingerprint check	Yes	Yes
Background check	No	No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum ACT score	No	No

Minimum SAT score	No	No
Minimum basic skills test score	No	No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes	No
Recommendation(s)	Yes	No
Essay or personal statement	Yes	No
Interview	Yes	No
Other Experience working with the appropriate level of school-aged children or adolescents	Yes	Yes

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2014-15? What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2014-15? Please provide any additional comments about the information provided above. This may vary just a bit across programs.

## Section I.c Enrollment

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled.


For the purpose of Title III reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and not an enrolled student.

Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.

Total number of students enrolled in 2014-15:	8 7 7
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2014-15:	2 4 5
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2014-15:	6 3 2

3 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM



## Section I.d Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2014-15..

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences::

Supervising practitioners are not considered adjunct faculty - that is why I reported "0." The numbers (both hours and faculty) are misleading because there is considerable variation from program to program. Also, there is no category for graduate students and so I grouped them with adjuncts. Finally, I didn't include mentor teachers - only those paid by the university.

## Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2014-15.. For the purposes of this section,, number prepared means the number of program completers.. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach.. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area.. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area,, please leave that cell blank.. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	150
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	600
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	15
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	0
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	600
Subject Area	Number Prepared
Education - General	
Teacher Education - Special Education	51
Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	21
Teacher Education - Elementary Education	110
Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
Teacher Education - Secondary Education	
Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	
Teacher Education - Agriculture	4
Teacher Education - Art	10



Teacher Education - Business	
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	12
Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
Teacher Education - Health	
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
Teacher Education - Mathematics	14
Teacher Education - Music	14
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
Teacher Education - Reading	
Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	3
Teacher Education - Social Science	4
Teacher Education - Social Studies	
Teacher Education - Technical Education	
Teacher Education - Computer Science	

5 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

Teacher Education - Biology 12

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>



Teacher Education - Chemistry

4

---

---

Teacher Education - Drama and Dance

---

---

Teacher Education - French

---

---

Teacher Education - German

---

---

Teacher Education - History

10

---

---

Teacher Education - Physics

2

---

---

Teacher Education - Spanish

6

---

---

Teacher Education - Speech

---

---

Teacher Education - Geography

---

---

Teacher Education - Latin

---

---

Teacher Education - Psychology

---

---

Teacher Education - Earth Science

1

---

Teacher Education - English as a Second Language

---

Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education

---

Education - OtherSpecify: American Sign Language

1

---

### Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2014-15. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Academic Major Number Prepared
Education - General
Teacher Education - Special Education 51
Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education 21
Teacher Education - Elementary Education 110
Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education
Teacher Education - Secondary Education
Teacher Education - Agriculture 4
Teacher Education - Art 10
Teacher Education - Business
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts
Teacher Education - Foreign Language

Teacher Education - Health
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts
Teacher Education - Mathematics 14
Teacher Education - Music 14
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching
Teacher Education - Reading
Teacher Education - Science 3
Teacher Education - Social Science
Teacher Education - Social Studies
Teacher Education - Technical Education
Teacher Education - Computer Science
Teacher Education - Biology 12
Teacher Education - Chemistry 4
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance
Teacher Education - French
Teacher Education - German
Teacher Education - History
Teacher Education - Physics
Teacher Education - Spanish

Teacher Education - Speech

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

\_\_\_\_\_

---

---

Teacher Education - Geography

---

---

Teacher Education - Latin

---

---

Teacher Education - Psychology

---

---

Teacher Education - Earth Science

---

---

Teacher Education - English as a Second Language

---

---

Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education

---

---

Education - Curriculum and Instruction

---

---

Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education

---

---

Liberal Arts/Humanities

---

---

Psychology

---

---

Social Sciences

---

---

Anthropology

---

---

Economics

---

---

Geography and Cartography

---

---

Political Science and Government 4

---

---

Sociology

---

---

Visual and Performing Arts

---

---

History 10

---

---

Foreign Languages 6



---

---

Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences

---

---

English Language/Literature 12

---

---

Philosophy and Religious Studies

---

---

Agriculture

---

---

Communication or Journalism

---

---

Engineering

---

---

Biology

---

---

Mathematics and Statistics

---

---

Physical Sciences 1

---

---

Astronomy and Astrophysics

---

---

Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology

---

---

Chemistry

---

---

Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences

---

---

Physics 2

---

---

Business/Business Administration/Accounting

---

---

Computer and Information Sciences

---

---

Other 1 Specify: American Sign Language

---

## Section I.f Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years:  
2014-15: 279 2013-14: 281 2012-13: 340

## Section II Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/poll/tsa.html>. Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic

years. Academic year 2014-15

6 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2014-15?

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

Yes How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2014-15? 14 Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2014-15? No Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable: We work with the Center for Recruitment and Retention for Mathematics teachers. We really don't set annual goals - we simply try to recruit as many as we can. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable: Until salaries in Arizona can support a young teacher and his/her family we are unlikely to compete with other professions or job opportunities. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below: Improved salaries and working conditions would really help. Academic year 2015-16 Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2015-16? Yes How many

prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2015-16? Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:: See above Academic year 2016-17 Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2016-17? Yes How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2016-17? Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:: The market won't support teachers--esp. mathematics majors who have many more lucrative opportunities.

## Section II Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/poll/tsa.html>. Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic

years. Academic year 2014-15 Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2014-15? Yes How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2014-15? 22 Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2014-15? No Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:: Until salaries in Arizona can support a young teacher and his/her family we are unlikely to compete with other professions or job opportunities. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:: Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:: We will not meet our goal. See comments above.

7 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

Academic year 2015-16

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2015-16? Yes How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2015-16? 0 Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:: See comments above. Academic year 2016-17 Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2016-17? Yes How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2016-17? 0 Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:: Working conditions and salaries are a serious problem here in Arizona.

## Section II Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/poll/tsa.html>. Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three

academic years. Academic year 2014-15 Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2014-15? Yes How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2014-15? 25 Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2014-15? Yes Description of

strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable::Federal funding for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing and Blind and Visually Impaired  
Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:: Salaries and working conditions are a serious problem in Arizona.  
Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below::We are planning a new 5th year masters program to increase enrollments in Mild to Moderate Special Education. Academic year 2015-16  
How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2015-16? Yes  
Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below::We are seeing a drop in demand because of working conditions AND the increasing burden of paperwork.  
Academic year 2016-17  
Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2016-17? Yes  
How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2016-17?

8 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

0

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below::We are seeing a drop in demand because of working conditions AND the increasing burden of paperwork.

## Section II Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/poll/tsa.html>. Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English

proficient students in each of three academic years.  
Academic year 2014-15  
Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2014-15? Yes  
How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2014-15? 10  
Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2014-15? No  
Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable::Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable::Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below::Because of Departmental & College resources, we limit enrollment in our Dual Cat Program. We limit our enrollment in all Elementary Programs. Decrease in financial support from State, Federal and University and the low salaries for new teachers in local districts has a negative impact on recruitment.  
Academic year 2015-16  
How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2015-16? Yes  
Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below::See comments above.  
Academic year 2016-17  
Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17? Yes  
How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17? 0  
Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below::

## Section II Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iv), §206(b)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends..Yes

Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.. Yes

Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.. Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.. Yes

9 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students..

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.. Yes

Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.. Yes

Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above::

There is no substantial change in terms of what we reported last year. We meet regularly with the district representatives who work with our students; we engage in common research and program development projects; we carefully negotiate effective field experiences; we evaluate our candidates performance as well as their perceptions of their experiences and we place our students in Title I schools. We are expanding our outreach to rural districts. We survey local districts who hire our students in order to determine their perceptions of how well prepared our student are.

### Section III Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test CompanyGroup	Number taking tests
NT051-APK ELEMENTARYEvaluation Systems group of PearsonAll enrolled students who have completed all noncl	5
NT051-APK ELEMENTARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3
NT051-APK ELEMENTARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	139
NT051-APK ELEMENTARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	143
NT051-APK ELEMENTARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13	147
NT052-APK SECONDARYEvaluation Systems group of PearsonAll enrolled students who have completed all noncl	8
NT052-APK SECONDARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1

NT052-APK SECONDARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	91
NT052-APK SECONDARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	86
NT052-APK SECONDARYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13	102
NT503-ARTEvaluation Systems group of PearsonAll enrolled students who have completed all noncl	4
NT503-ARTEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	10
NT503-ARTEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14	7
NT503-ARTEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13	3
013-ARTEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13	13
NT305-BIOLOGYEvaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2

10 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

11 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

NT305-BIOLOGY 11 260

11 100

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>



Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15



NT305-BIOLOGY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14



NT305-BIOLOGY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

4



007-BIOLOGY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

6



NT306-CHEMISTRY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

4



NT306-CHEMISTRY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

3



008-CHEMISTRY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

1



NT306-CHEMISTRY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

2



036-EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

16



266

16

100



036-EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

13

268

13

100



036-EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

32

266

32

100



045-EARTH SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

1



045-EARTH SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

1



001-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

3



001-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

86

260

84

98



NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl

3



NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students

4



NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

105

245

102

97



NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

102

249

100

98



NT102-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS I) Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2012-13

46

234

41

89



NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II) Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll enrolled students who have completed all noncl

2



NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II) Evaluation Systems group of PearsonOther enrolled students

4



12 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II) 105 250

100 95

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>



Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15



NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II) Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2013-14

250

99

98



NT103-ELEMENTARY EDUCATION (SUBTESTS II) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

46

240

41

89



002-ENGLISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

1



002-ENGLISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

17

267

17

100



NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students

5



NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15



NT302-HISTORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

11

267

11

100



NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

13

265

13

100



NT301-ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

1



NT311-GENERAL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

2



NT302-HISTORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students

2



NT302-HISTORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

005-HISTORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

10

245

10

100

1

NT302-HISTORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

7

2

005-HISTORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

9

NT304-MATHEMATICSEvaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students

1

NT304-MATHEMATICSEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

13

246

10

77

NT304-MATHEMATICSEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

13

258

13

100



010-MATHEMATICSEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

13

274

13

100



NT304-MATHEMATICSEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

12

248

12

100



NT504-MUSICEvaluation Systems group of PearsonAll enrolled students who have completed all noncl

4

13 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

NT504-MUSIC 12 257

12 100

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>





Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15



NT504-MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

NT504-MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

260

17

100



014-MUSIC Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

8



1



NT308-PHYSICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students

2



NT308-PHYSICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

2



NT308-PHYSICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

1



009-PHYSICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13



2

1



006-POLITICAL SCIENCE/AMERICAN GOVERNMENT Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2014-15

3



006-POLITICAL SCIENCE/AMERICAN GOVERNMENT Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2013-14

2



006-POLITICAL SCIENCE/AMERICAN GOVERNMENT Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2012-13



091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of PearsonOther enrolled students

5



091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll enrolled students who have completed all noncl

2



1



091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2014-15

6



091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2013-14

1



091-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - ELEMENTARY Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2012-13

16

267

16

100



092-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2014-15

1



092-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE - SECONDARY Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2012-13

20

267

20

100



093-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE-EARLY CHLDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2014-15

093-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE-EARLY CHLDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2013-14

16

264

16

100



263

20

100



093-PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE-EARLY CHLDHOOD Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2012-13

32

265

32


100

14 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

NT401-SPANISH 5

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>



Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15



015-SPANISHEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

1



NT401-SPANISHEvaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

4



015-SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

6



NT401-SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

1



022-SPECIAL ED.: CROSS-CATEGORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

1



022-SPECIAL ED.: CROSS-CATEGORY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

12

268

12

100



026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all noncl

2



026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students



026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

1



2



026-SPECIAL ED.: HEARING IMPAIRED Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

1



027-SPECIAL ED.: LEARNING DISABILITY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

1



030-SPECIAL ED.: SEV. & PROF. DISABLED Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll program completers, 2013-14

2



032-SPECIAL ED.: VISUALLY IMPAIRED Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

1



032-SPECIAL ED.: VISUALLY IMPAIRED Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

3



NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of PearsonAll enrolled students who have completed all noncl



2



NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15

31

248

28

90



NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2013-14

30

245

29

97



NT601-SPECIAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2012-13

9

### Section III Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number takiing tests	Number passiing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2014-15	260	246	95
All program completers, 2013-14	253	245	97
All program completers, 2012-13	321	310	97

### Section IV Low-Performing

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program..

Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited? Yes

If yes., please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:: State

Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)? No

## Section V Use of Technology

Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program.. Please note that choosing "yes" indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request..

Does your program prepare teachers to:

integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction Yes  
use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning Yes  
use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning Yes  
use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning Yes

Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction., and to use technology effectively to collect., manage., and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement.. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning., as applicable.. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place..

The majority of our incoming students have proficiency across numerous technologies. In addition, many courses incorporate a variety of technologies, presentation formats, and web sites. Desire to Learn (D2L), a university-wide online platform for sharing information with students in particular sections for a class, is used nearly all teacher preparation courses. Instructors model teaching with technologies such as interactive White Boards, and we also address using technology tools in our subject methods courses, where we have students work with tools for data collection and analysis. Strategies that have proven most successful include requiring students to develop lesson plans that require high school students to utilize key pieces of technology within their own class projects. In mathematics methods courses, software such as Geogebra and Geometer's Sketchpad, graphing calculators, and motion detectors for data collection and graph displays are widely used. In Art Education, ARE 469/569 addresses intensive usage of technologies such as Second Life in teaching situations. In fall 2010, this course was co-taught in Second life with a professor at Penn State University. Students also gain a first-hand experience of technology, working on digital art/animation/ web design projects. In the Special Education program, specific coursework focuses on the integration of technology into teaching and learning and use of devices such as laptops, netbooks, PDAs, lightscribe pens, Smart Boards, and other applications. Special education students learn and develop technology for adaptive devices by creating an adaptation for a student, use it, and report back), and students attend the technology presentations created and presented by the DRC (Disability Resource Center), which highlights technology for use in classroom settings with a variety of disabilities. In general, we are continuing to increase the number of assignments in which students use technology and we are providing professional development experiences for faculty members, such as the K-12 Summer Technology Camp which has resulted in faculty being more current with technology and incorporating more technology into their courses. Many of the programs require student teaching portfolios and require students to demonstrate the use of technology in teaching practices. While many of our programs solely use electronic portfolios, we are exploring the possibility of requiring electronic portfolios for all of our students—across programs. Although the ADE survey of principals indicated that they were quite satisfied with our students' preparation to use technology, we believe we have room to grow in this area. The College of Education has added an award for innovative uses of technology, hired a learning sciences director, and converted a computer lab into a telepresence room.

To collect data to improve teaching & learning

Our students use video and digital recordings of their teaching in order to promote reflection and to analyze student learning. They also use electronic grade books that are specific to the districts in which they are student teaching. In their assessment courses they become aware of the ways in which data can inform curriculum and instructional design.

Manage data to improve teaching and learning Our students use electronic grade books that are specific to the districts in which they are student teaching. Analyze data to improve teaching and learning

Our students learn to integrate more quantitative data with qualitative data as they reflect on the impact of their teaching on their students' work products. In addition, the Classroom Inquiry projects for Teach Arizona fall into this category. During student teaching, Teach Arizona students are required to design and implement an action research study of some aspect of their instruction. They gather and analyze relevant qualitative and quantitative data (from assignments, exams, journals, surveys, observations) to assess how their instruction impacts student learning, attendance, motivation, etc.

## Section VI Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing "yes" indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

teach students with disabilities effectively Yes participate as a member of individualized education program teams Yes

\*\*\*\*\*  
15 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM

teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

<https://title2.ed.gov/Secured/DataCollection/Institution/PrintReport...>

Yes

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

We use four forms of evidence to document our students' proficiency in the use of technology: teaching portfolios, student surveys, principal surveys, and surveys of Human Resource directors. There is convergence across the surveys that our graduates are prepared to work with special needs students. In addition, the portfolios indicate that our student are meeting the InTASC standard, which include diversifying instruction and working with students of varying abilities. We are beginning discussions around ways to better incorporate collaborative teaching into our programs. We are also working with local districts to provide more dual language instructors.

Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

teach students with disabilities effectively Yes participate as a member of individualized education program teams Yes teach students who are limited English proficient effectively Yes

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

We use four forms of evidence to document our students' proficiency in the use of technology: teaching portfolios, student surveys, principal surveys, and surveys of Human Resource directors. There is convergence across the surveys

that our graduates are prepared to use technology in their instruction. Moreover, the portfolios indicate that our student are meeting ISTE-NETS standards. In addition we are redesigning our classrooms and several of our assignments to increase the effective use of technology in instruction across our programs.

All of our special education students have multiple opportunities to participate on IEP teams during student teaching and in early field experiences. Where appropriate, they have the opportunity to lead portions of the meeting during student teaching.

All of our special education students are required to take two state mandated courses in Structured English Immersion. They are also required to take a course in multi-cultural issues in special education, which includes a focus on special education students who have limited English proficiency. The ADE survey, mentioned previously, indicated that our graduates are rated above the state average in this area.

## Section VII Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card. The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

Conditions in Arizona and across the U.S. make teaching a low-valued, poorly paid, highly criticized and undesirable profession. For two years in a row our graduates are rated higher than the state average. The principals who hire our teachers feel that they are well prepared. The state has not provided us with results from Year Three or Four, but our own local surveys indicate that cooperating district perceive that our graduates are well prepared to enter the classroom. We are expanding our Teacher Preparation Survey to include cooperating teachers' perceptions of our students capabilities.

### Supporting Files

#### Complete Report Card AY 2014-15



This is a United States Department of Education computer system.

[About Title III Technical Assistance](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Contacts](#)

16 of 16

4/26/16, 12:35 PM